We felt on our Committee that this was a fact that could not be brushed aside, it had to be taken into account. What we are doing here today. I forgot the vote, I can give it to you in a minute -- it was overwhelmingly in favor of this thing. This in view of the fact the Court of Appeals itself had said it was formerly unconstitutional. You couldn't have an issue where the spotlight was more directed on the subject matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Hanson.

DELEGATE HANSON: I would agree with the direction of the spotlicht and importance of the subject matter. but assuming with me for a moment that whatever is said here so long as the law is not repealed by some action in this new Constitution that the farm land assessments Act or some similar act giving tax advantages to bona fide farmers is to remain on the books. in Maryland, why did the Committee decide to use the mandatory 'shall' in the creation of any classifications that require the establishment of a class for acricultural uses rather than to continue the current language of the Constitution which is permissive where it says in Article 43, the